

Engineering Dossier Pack on Historical Anomalous-Vessel Reports

InTelluric / Alnitak Group

Executive Summary

This report treats historical anomalous-vessel reports as a technical-intelligence problem rather than a folklore problem. The archival logic for doing that is straightforward: U.S. and Canadian record systems preserved these events inside operational, intelligence, policing, and laboratory channels, not only in popular UFO collections. The National Archives and Records Administration now identifies multiple record groups for UFO/UAP case files, Blue Book administration, artifacts, and related Air Force and Navy holdings, while JANAP-146 explicitly required CIRVIS and MERINT reporting for unidentified flying objects, unidentified submarines, and surface or air vehicles “of unconventional design” or in unusual locations or on unusual courses.

Using the Joe Simonton / Eagle River report as the reference model, the highest-yield cases in the 1950s–1970s archive are the ones that preserve at least one of the following in close enough detail to constrain engineering interpretation: near-range structure, access geometry, stepwise motion, localized thermal or atmospheric coupling, physical traces or artifacts, or multi-channel instrument corroboration. On that standard, the six strongest cases assembled here are Eagle River, Socorro, Falcon Lake, RB-47, Shag Harbour, and Tehran. Across those files, the key recurring signal is not simply “strange motion.” It is state-dependent behavior: static or landed states, access states, closure or transition states, and then separate lift or translation states. That pattern is recurrent enough to justify a constrained engineering reading even where explanation remains unresolved.

The report is organized dossier-first. Each case is presented with observation before inference, contradictions preserved, provenance explicitly graded, and unresolved archival identifiers marked unknown rather than invented. Cross-case synthesis appears only after all dossiers.

Reporting framework and method The source base was weighted toward official or near-official holdings: records indexed by the National Archives and Records Administration; Canadian archival references published by Library and Archives Canada; technical and case-file scans preserved by CUFOS from files associated with J. Allen Hynek

and Project Blue Book; released NSA and Defense Department documents; and Canadian police/defense chains involving the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. A direct primary copy of OPNAV 3820 was not recovered in this pass, so OPNAV/FLYOBPRPT remains a live follow-up target rather than a settled source document in this packet.

Operational reporting language matters because it shows how the originating institutions categorized unknowns. JANAP-146 required CIRVIS from airborne and land-based sources and MERINT from waterborne

sources; reportable items included unidentified flying objects, hostile or unidentified submarines, and unconventional surface vessels, submarines, or aircraft. That reporting frame is technically useful because it was built to capture unusual vehicles as security/intelligence events, not merely as public anecdotes.

Provenance is graded as follows: A for contemporaneous official or laboratory documents directly available; B for contemporaneous material available through partial scans, secondary hosting, or mixed documentary chains; C for cases where later synthesis carries a major part of the load. Corroboration is scored 0–5, where 0 is anecdotal only and 5 is a

multi-channel official sensor or response record. “Official explanation accounts for observations” is judged narrowly: the question is not whether an authority proposed an explanation, but whether the explanation actually absorbs the recorded geometry, motion, traces, and corroboration without leaving major residuals. Dossiers Eagle River reference case The Eagle River file is the reference model because it preserves an unusually dense close-range packet: hull shape, hatch state, interior layout, crew appearance, sound, an exchanged physical artifact, and a departure sequence. The official record card itself records a “Physical Specimen (Pancake)” and stamps the conclusion as “Hallucination,” while the longer ATIC/Hynek materials state that Simonton was a “balanced person of good mental health” who nevertheless was judged to have experienced a “hallucination followed by delusion.” In the same file, the laboratory result on the cakes is that they were consistent with “an ordinary pancake of terrestrial origin.” That combination is precisely why the case remains analytically valuable: the dismissal language is strong, but so is the physical/custodial record.

Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from the official record card, Hynek’s field report, and the associated food-analysis correspondence in the CUFOS scan of the case file.

18 Apr 1961; Eagle River, Wisconsin, U.S.

Land; rural farmyard; close-range ground interaction.

Joe Simonton; civilian local resident. The file describes him as a seemingly balanced local witness rather than as a trained pilot or military observer.

CUFOS scan of Project Blue Book / ATIC materials; exact NARA item identifier unresolved in the retrieved copy and therefore marked unknown.

“balanced person of good mental health”; “hallucination followed by delusion”; “ordinary pancake of terrestrial origin.”

“2 soup bowls together”; disk/biconvex form; hatch line “imperceptible” when shut; perimeter openings described as exhaust-pipe-like protrusions.

About 12 ft high and 30 ft in diameter; hatch about 5 ft above the ground.

Bright “chromium” or brighter-than-chrome exterior; jug/bottle handed down

was described as of the same unusual bright material as the craft.

No strong external lighting architecture emphasized in the retrieved file;

visibility seems to have depended primarily on reflective surface appearance.

Described variously as jet-like, like snow or knobby tires on pavement, and inside as a slow whining hum “like the hum of a generator.”

Cakes were reportedly warm to the touch; departure produced a strong rearward blast without smoke.

Unknown in the retrieved official file.

Hatch was already open when interaction began; after closure with a “click,” its outline could not be discerned.

Three occupants visible; about five feet tall; smooth-shaven; dressed in dark clothing; no spoken exchange recorded; communication by gesture; one occupant appeared to be preparing food on a flameless grill.

Audible descent / arrival → static near-ground interaction with hatch open → water handoff and cake exchange → hatch closure → immediate vertical rise “like an elevator” to about 20 ft → tilt about 45° → rapid southbound departure in seconds. Minimal durable ground interaction recorded; a pine was shaken during departure blast. None in the retrieved file. Four cakes collected; food labs reported fat, starch, buckwheat-related material and concluded ordinary terrestrial pancake composition; no strong landing-mark record. Official ATIC position: “hallucination followed by delusion.” Provenance A; corroboration 2/5. Official explanation accounts for the single-witness problem but only partially accounts for the total packet because it leaves the transferred food artifact to be explained by an unstated ordinary-source or hoax assumption. Provenance and corroboration assessment. This is a high-provenance file because the case packet includes an official record card, named investigators, and actual laboratory handling.

Corroboration

remains limited because the decisive encounter remains single-witness and sensor-free. The artifact raises the score above an ordinary anecdotal report, but not into the multi-channel class.

Constraint-only engineering inferences. The key inference from this case is not a propulsion model; it is a state-locked sequence. The opening is available only in the static interaction state. Closure occurs cleanly and seam visibility collapses. Vertical lift occurs before translation, and translation begins only after attitude change. The sound profile does not scale clearly with the motion transition. Those constraints support a compact landed interaction platform with a concealed service opening and discrete operating states. Confidence is moderate on the sequence, moderate on gross geometry, and low on specific propulsion architecture. The strongest alternative hypotheses remain fabrication or misperception plus ordinary terrestrial food, but those alternatives must explain why the fabricated mechanics are so specific and state-consistent.

Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are: recover the missing ATIC attachments; recover the full FDA and Air Force food-analysis paperwork and chain-of-custody record; request any 676th Radar Squadron notes mentioned in the file; and obtain original scene photographs if they survive in NARA’s Blue Book series or artifact holdings. Socorro The Socorro packet is the strongest U.S. landed-trace case in this set. Officer Lonnie Zamora reported an egg-or oval-shaped white object on “girderlike legs,” with one or two figures nearby, a loud roaring/flaming lower phase, then a rise to about 15–20 ft where “the flame and smoke had ceased,” followed by several seconds of stationary low-altitude hold and then departure “following the contour of the gully.” Immediate follow-up preserved shallow depressions and apparently burned brush. Laboratory work did not find unusual radiation, foreign material, or chemical residues typical of a known propellant in the retrieved summary. Hynek later wrote that he would like to accept a hallucination solution “if it weren’t for the marks and the burned patches.”

Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from the official Blue Book case summary and related Hynek correspondence in the CUFOS scan.

24 Apr 1964; south of Socorro, New Mexico, U.S.

Land; arid gully/mesa terrain near a dynamite shack.

Lonnie Zamora; police officer on duty. Official Blue Book / Foreign Technology Division “Unidentified Flying Object Report — Socorro, New Mexico, 24 April 1964”; exact NARA item ID unresolved in retrieved copy and marked unknown.

“egg or oval-shaped”; “supported on girderlike legs”; “the flame and smoke

had ceased”; Hynek: “if it weren’t for the marks and the burned patches.”

White compact body, egg/oval form, apparently supported on leg-like structures.

At one stage initially mistaken for an overturned car; later trace studies in the wider file imply a roughly four-point footprint. Exact hull dimensions are not securely fixed in the official summary page. White surface; no conventional aircraft external structure described.

Blue flame / bright lower emission during liftoff phase; red marking or symbol observed after the flame/smoke ceased.

Loud roar during the ground-proximate powered phase; later quieter/whining phase after climb. Burned brush at site; object associated with flame and smoke during ascent. Unknown in the retrieved official summary. No opening securely established in the official summary page; later source traditions differ on whether the red marking might have corresponded to an access feature.

One or two figures in coveralls observed at distance near the object, then not seen again.

Distant sighting while in pursuit of another car → close approach → roar, smoke, and flame from object bottom → object rises to 15–20 ft; flame/smoke cease → low stationary hold for several seconds → southerly departure following the contour of the gully. Four shallow depressions and burned brush at the scene. Nearby radar installations reportedly had no unusual or unidentified blips in the official summary. Soil analysis: no foreign material or abnormal radiation above normal background; burned brush analysis: no known propellant chemical indicator identified in the retrieved summary.

The retrieved official file does not provide a satisfactory conventional closure;

Hynek explicitly resisted hallucinatory dismissal because of the preserved physical traces.

Provenance A; corroboration 4/5. Official explanation accounts for observations no: trace evidence, immediate response, and the low-hover/ departure sequence remain only partially absorbed by prosaic alternatives in the retrieved official material. Provenance and corroboration assessment. This case ranks very high because the primary observer was on duty, the response was immediate, and physical traces were documented and tested. The main weakness is not provenance; it is that even good trace evidence still leaves room for unresolved mechanism.

Constraint-only engineering inferences. Socorro constrains a compact landed platform with external support structures and a distinctly split transition profile: intense lower emission and roar close to the ground, followed by cessation of flame/smoke at low hover altitude and then contour-following translation. That sequence is mechanically useful because it separates a near-ground high-emission state from a lower-signature travel state. Confidence is high on the sequence and moderate on the support geometry; confidence is low on exact propulsion architecture. The strongest alternatives are hoax or unusual terrestrial hardware, but the retrieved official laboratory and response record do not resolve the case into either one. Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are: recover original scene photographs in the highest resolution possible; recover soil and botanical lab worksheets; recover any field-measurement sketches of the depressions and spacing; and determine whether the symbol/markings debate can be narrowed by image review rather than memory. NARA’s Blue Book case-file and administrative-file series are the primary targets. Falcon Lake Falcon Lake is the richest landed thermal-interaction case in the Canadian record set, though not as cleanly preserved as Socorro. The core official chain records a daylight encounter by Stefan Michalak with two cigar-shaped objects descending at the same rate, one stopping aloft, one landing about 160 feet away on a flat rock. The landed object changed color toward “hot stainless steel,” emitted purple light, warm air, and the stench of sulphur, and remained motionless long enough for inspection and sketching. Michalak later suffered a patterned chest burn

described in the historical record as a grate-like array, and Canadian follow-up materials preserved both radiological concern and the conclusion that investigators were “unable to provide evidence which would dispute Mr. Michalak’s story.” The same file history also documents contamination problems in the sampling process. Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from the Library and Archives Canada references summarized in the Trent University dissertation, together with the cited RCMP and health/radiation documents described there. Because the retrieved open source is partly a scholarly synthesis over official files, unresolved details are marked as such.

20 May 1967; Falcon Lake / Falcon Beach area, Manitoba, Canada.

Land; rocky inland forest / prospector terrain. Observer identity Stefan Michalak; civilian prospector and technically attentive observer, though and training not a military or aviation specialist. LAC references preserved in the retrieved dissertation include RG24 accession

83-84/167 box 7523 file 3800-10-1 part 1; RG77 vol. 311 file DND/UAR (1967)

200, microfilm T-1744. Some original documents were not directly opened in this pass.

“cigar-shaped UFOs”; “hot stainless steel”; “the stench of sulphur”; investigators

“unable to provide evidence which would dispute Mr. Michalak’s story.”

Two elongated/cigar-shaped bodies; one landed, one hovered. A top opening

and later a side opening are both part of the reported packet. Estimated dimensions and scale refs Landed object approximately 160 ft away from the witness; exact hull dimensions unresolved in the retrieved official-summary chain.

Exterior color shift from red/grey-red/light grey toward the color of hot

stainless steel with a golden glow. No identifying marks reportedly seen. Lighting and Brilliant purple light from an opening; interior later described as lit; strong emission behavior localized bright emission tied to openings/vents rather than diffuse body glow.

Not cleanly recoverable from the retrieved source set.

Waves of warm air; scorched glove on contact; severe patterned chest burn

from hot blast through a vent/screen-like structure; later nausea and vomiting.

Sulphur odor; later metallic/sulphur taste and systemic illness as preserved in the historical record.

Opening at the top of the craft visible during static state; later a side opening

through which the witness viewed a lit interior.

No clear visual occupants; two human-like voices reportedly heard from inside.

Two-object descent at same rate → one remains hovering while one lands → landed object stays motionless long enough for sketching and close approach → contact/inspection phase → localized hot blast during ascent/departure.

Landed on flat rock; area later associated with vegetation-free patch / altered site condition in the investigative chain. No robust radar file retrieved in this pass. A compass anomaly is part of the narrative record but not instrumentally preserved in the retrieved official chain.

Burn pattern on chest; scorched clothing/glove; later radiological testing associated with radium-226 at levels not considered a public hazard; sample contamination is explicitly noted in the investigative history.

No satisfactory official refutation; early responding officer reportedly treated

the witness as irrational, but later investigators stated they could not produce

evidence to dispute the story. Provenance A- / B+ because the historical synthesis is carrying part of the case

burden while citing official files; corroboration 3/5. Official explanation

accounts for observations partial: contamination and inconsistency weaken

some materials evidence, but they do not dissolve the injury-and-site packet into an ordinary event model. Provenance and corroboration assessment. Falcon Lake is essentially strong on physical effects and weaker on chain purity. That matters. The case should not be treated as “proved” by residue or radiation alone, because the sample history is imperfect. It remains high-value because the close-range thermal interaction and patterned injury are unusually specific. Constraint-only engineering inferences. This file constrains a landed elongated platform with discrete access geometry and localized thermal venting during an ascent transition. The color-change description, warm-air output, sulphur odor, glove scorching, and grate-like burn pattern all point toward heat and gas flow coupling that was spatially localized rather than uniformly radiated from the hull. Confidence is moderate on discrete venting and access-state behavior, moderate on elongated-body classification, and low on any radiological interpretation beyond “something in the chain provoked sustained official concern.” The strongest alternatives remain contamination layered onto an embellished encounter, but the patterned burn and close-range thermal sequence remain hard to absorb cleanly into that alternative. Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are: obtain the full LAC files directly; recover the original medical descriptions and any remaining photographs of the chest injury; recover any surviving clothing, glove, tape, soil, or rock samples for modern microanalysis; and reconstruct the sample custody chain before attempting any new lab interpretation. RB-47 The RB-47 case is a strong signal-correlation case and a weak morphology case. The open packet retrieved here is not a pristine raw sensor archive; it is a compiled documentary set that preserves references to a wing intelligence report, a pilot Airborne Observer’s Report, and a ground-radar teletype. The case’s core significance lies in its claimed overlap among aircrew visual observation, onboard ELINT indications, and at least some attempted radar support. Its main weakness is that the same open packet preserves a crucial contradiction: the Duncanville teletype reportedly stated, “UTAH (Duncanville radar) HAD NEGATIVE CONTACT WITH OBJECT.” That means the case remains important, but it cannot be treated as a clean vehicle-reconstruction file on the presently open record.

Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from the CUFOS-hosted documentary packet and therefore carries mixed provenance relative to the raw primary files it cites.

17 Jul 1957; airborne over the south-central United States, with the critical phase involving the Texas / Dallas-Fort Worth air-defense region.

Air; military aircraft operational context.

RB-47 crew including pilot and ELINT/ECM personnel; trained military observers.

CUFOS-hosted compiled case packet citing the original wing-intelligence report, Chase Airborne Observer’s Report, and Duncanville teletype; exact archival IDs unresolved in the retrieved copy and marked unknown. “UTAH (Duncanville radar) HAD NEGATIVE CONTACT WITH OBJECT.”

Unknown / unresolved. No stable recoverable hull form from the retrieved packet.

One visual phase involved a large bright light at significant distance; no

reliable hull dimension in the retrieved packet. Unknown.

Primary visual manifestation is a large bright or red light.

Not applicable / unknown due airborne standoff.

terrain / water / clouds

None recoverable in the retrieved packet.

ELINT anomaly and bearings → visual light acquisition → pursuit/turning maneuvers → intermittent support from ground control attempts → continued tracking and eventual breakoff. None directly useful for vehicle reconstruction in the retrieved packet. Claimed overlap among onboard ELINT indications, visual observation, and some ground-radar involvement, but the formal teletype contradiction is central and unresolved.

No clean official closure is recovered in the present open packet; later

skeptical reinterpretations are external to the raw event documents and not treated here as primary evidence. Provenance B; corroboration 4/5 for multi-channel sensing, 1/5 for morphology. Official explanation accounts for observations partial/ unknown because the presently retrieved record is internally contradictory and incomplete. Provenance and corroboration assessment. RB-47 matters because trained operators reported a difficult-to-track target state across multiple channels. It remains below the landed close-encounter cases for reverse-engineering value because morphology, physical traces, and close-range geometry are largely absent.

Constraint-only engineering inferences. The only robust inference from the present record is that, if the event components belong to a single target, they describe a signal-management or sensor-coupled object state rather than a recoverable hull. Confidence is low for vehicle geometry, moderate for “operationally unusual target behavior,” and low-to-moderate for any stronger claim. The main alternative is mixed ordinary traffic, radar issues, and later testimony drift, and the present documentary contradiction prevents that alternative from being confidently eliminated.

Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are raw-data actions: recover the original wing-intelligence report, Chase’s Airborne Observer’s Report, the full Duncanville teletype, any scope photography or plot records, and any surviving ECM logs or maintenance notes on the electronics involved. Without those, the case remains operationally interesting but structurally underdetermined. Shag Harbour Shag Harbour is a serious response-chain case but a low-resolution structure case. The immediate responders treated the event as a possible aircraft crash, not as a folklore incident. The concise DND summary retrieved here states that an RCMP corporal and six others saw an object about 60 feet long traveling east, descending rapidly, making a “bright splash,” leaving a single white light on the water, and then disappearing before response units could recover anything. The later Library and Archives Canada research list confirms that the case sits inside Canada’s non-meteoritic-sightings archive structure, including RG77-E item 134925. That is enough to preserve Shag Harbour as a high-interest transmedium-response case, but not enough to reconstruct a detailed hull.

Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from a secondary-hosted transcript of the DND report and the Library and Archives Canada Shag Harbour research list. The original DND file number behind the specific concise transcript was not resolved in the retrieved pass and is marked unknown where necessary.

4 Oct 1967; off southwest Nova Scotia near Shag Harbour / Lower Wood Harbour, Canada.

Maritime / coastal water-entry case.

Witness set included an RCMP corporal and six other witnesses. Secondary-hosted DND report transcript; LAC research list identifies related

file structures including RG77-E, item number 134925, and additional 1967

file entries. Specific DND item for the concise transcript remains unknown in this pass.

“bright splash”; “one white light on the surface”; search failed to produce

tangible evidence leading to an explainable conclusion.

Approximate length about 60 ft in the concise report; otherwise unresolved. About 60 ft long per the short official-style summary. Unknown.

Multiple lights reported; one persistent white light on the surface after impact/entry.

Unknown in the retrieved concise summary. Unknown. Unknown.

Aerial movement eastbound → rapid descent → bright splash into water → surface persistence as a white light → disappearance/submergence before responders arrived.

terrain / water / clouds Direct water interaction is the core factual value of the case.

No raw radar/sonar record was retrieved in this pass. The official response chain included defense divers and search activity. No recovered wreckage or tangible material evidence in the retrieved summary. No satisfactory official closure in the retrieved summary; search produced no evidence leading to an explainable conclusion. Provenance B+; corroboration 3/5. Official explanation accounts for observations no/unknown because the retrieved file chain documents response without closure. Provenance and corroboration assessment. Shag Harbour is stronger as an official-response case than as a structure case. The witness set and response chain are respectable; the loss of object recovery severely limits engineering extraction.

Constraint-only engineering inferences. The case supports only one firm engineering-class constraint: air-to-water medium transition without a corresponding ordinary crash-recovery outcome in the retrieved file chain. Confidence is moderate on the transmedium sequence and low on almost everything else. The strongest alternatives remain misidentified aircraft loss or another transient luminous event, but the retrieved response record does not close the case that way. Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are archival rather than interpretive: obtain the full RCMP occurrence record, diver-search logs, Coast Guard or naval communications, any harbor-side witness statements, and any underwater-search maps or sonar notes identified through the LAC research list. Tehran The Tehran intercept is the strongest official multi-sensor air-intercept case in this dossier pack. The released U.S. government documents record civilian calls, command-post visual confirmation, two F-4 launches, target radar lock, repeated systems failures correlated with intercept geometry, a bright primary object with a radar return comparable to a 707 tanker, a strobing rectangular light architecture, at least one secondary object that executed a “perfect rejoin,” another object descending rapidly and then appearing to come to rest gently on the ground, and later daylight follow-up. The official packet is observational rather than explanatory, which makes it unusually good for behavioral extraction. Unless otherwise noted, the table below is compiled from the released JCS/NSA case summary and the Defense Department routing-slip packet.

19 Sep 1976; Tehran and surrounding Iranian airspace, with later follow-up toward a dry-lake-bed area.

Air; urban/peri-urban military intercept context. Observer identity Civilian callers; command-post personnel; two Iranian F-4 crews; tower personnel; and training later helicopter crew. Primary source and archival ref Exact Released official U.S. government case summary (us_gov_iran_case.pdf) and Defense routing-slip packet (ROUTING_SLIP_UFO_IRAN.PDF). Exact originating Iranian archive IDs not resolved in this pass. terminology “comparable to that of a 707 tanker”; “perfect rejoin.”

Hull geometry and structure Estimated dimensions and scale refs Exact hull shape unresolved because brilliance obscured form. Primary luminous object showed a rectangular strobe arrangement; later an additional cylinder-shaped object is described in the packet. Radar return size compared to a 707 tanker; one later object described approximately as the size of a T-bird. Surface and No stable material description because strong luminosity dominated the visual material clues record. Lighting and Flashing strobe lights arranged in a rectangular pattern, alternating blue, green, emission

red, and orange so rapidly that the colors could be seen at once; a descending object cast a very bright light over roughly 2–3 kilometers. Not a major feature in the airborne intercept phase. Ground area reports later mentioned loud noise and bright-light effects in the broader packet.

Bright ground illumination from descending secondary object; no direct hull

thermal test in the retrieved official release. Odors / atmospheric Unknown in the retrieved official release.

Hatch / port / seam

None recovered.

Initial command-post visual → first F-4 intercept and instrument/communications failure → second F-4 gains radar lock while range behaves anomalously → secondary bright object launches or separates toward the interceptor →

attempted weapons use coincides with control/communications failure → secondary goes to inside of turn and returns for a “perfect rejoin” → another object descends rapidly and then appears to come gently to rest on the ground → later cylinder-shaped object and additional communications effects.

One object appears to descend to the ground and illuminate a large area rather than explode on impact. Strong: radar lock, command-post visual, pilot visual, recurrent communications/ instrument failures, and later tower/civil-aircraft correlation in the packet.

No confirmed recovered craft material in the retrieved release; follow-up

mentioned beacon-like signal and contemplated radiological checks. Official explanation and dismissal

No explanatory closure is provided in the retrieved official release.

Provenance A; corroboration 5/5. Official explanation accounts for observations

no/unknown because the released official documents preserve behavior rather

than solving it. Provenance and corroboration assessment. Tehran is the most heavily corroborated case in this report. Its weakness is not authenticity of response; it is that strong luminosity and intercept distance prevent clean hull reconstruction. Constraint-only engineering inferences. The event most strongly constrains behavioral doctrine, not hull architecture. The target state appears to preserve standoff, deploy or separate secondary objects, interfere with intercept systems in geometry-dependent ways, and recombine or “rejoin.” Confidence is high on the engagement sequence, moderate on modular or multi-body behavior, and low on structural interpretation beyond “primary aerial platform plus subordinate bodies or emitted objects” as a working constraint. The strongest alternatives are combined avionics faults, visual confusion, and traffic misinterpretation, but the official synchronized packet is too structured for those alternatives to count as clean closure on the retrieved evidence. Recommended follow-up actions. Highest-yield actions are to recover aircraft maintenance and fault logs, any preserved radar records, tower logs, day-after helicopter files, and any Iranian-origin primary records not included in the released U.S. packet.

Comparative matrix

The table below compresses the engineering yield of the six dossiers after observation-first extraction, without adding cross-case theory beyond what each file itself supports. Best Case preserved Best evidence channel Strongest mechanical constraint Provenance Corroboration Eagle River Socorro feature Hatch/ interior/ service-state packet Artifact plus close-range observation Ground traces Landed trace plus immediate geometry official response A-2/5 Open access state distinct from lift and translation states High-

emission near-ground transition separate A 4/5 from low-hover/ travel state Static access/ Falcon Lake Localized thermal coupling Injury, site inspection state effects, later distinct from A-/B+ 3/5 official testing vented ascent RB-47 Multi-channel ELINT/visual/ track behavior radar reporting state Signal-coupled target behavior without recoverable morphology B 4/5 for sensing; 1/5 for Shag Harbour Water-entry Multiple Air-to-water response chain witnesses plus transition without B+ 3/5 official search recovery outcome Intercept Radar/visual/ secondary-body Standoff and Tehran behavior and avionics interference correlation deployment/ interference sequence A 5/5 The condensed state timeline below is included to preserve sequence logic visually before synthesis. It is derived directly from the dossier packets above. timeline title Encounter sequences and state transitions 1957-07-17 RB-47 : ELINT anomaly : visual light acquisition : pursuit / bearing changes : attempted radar support : breakoff 1961-04-18 Eagle River : static near-ground access : water transfer : hatch closure : vertical rise : attitude change : rapid translation 1964-04-24 Socorro : distant sighting with figures : near-ground roar / flame : rise to low hover : flame and smoke cease : contour-following departure 1967-05-20 Falcon Lake : dual descent : one hover / one landing : static inspection and opening visible : close approach : localized hot-blast ascent 1967-10-04 Shag Harbour : aerial lights : rapid descent : splash / surface light : disappearance below surface 1976-09-19 Tehran : visual alert : first intercept / systems loss : second intercept / radar lock : secondary-body approach : control failure : rejoin : another object descends to ground Cross-case synthesis After all six dossiers are compiled, three provisional classes are justified by the present record. The first is compact landed interaction platforms, represented most strongly by Eagle River and Socorro and more elongate in Falcon Lake. These are the cases in which the archive preserves static range, access geometry, crew or interior observations, short service interactions, or direct terrain coupling. The second is high-speed transit or signal-dominant platforms, represented by RB-47 and part of Tehran, where the archive preserves target behavior and sensor coupling more clearly than hull form. The third is transmedium or water-entry objects, represented by Shag Harbour and only weakly echoed elsewhere in the sample.

The most important recurring kinematic pattern is mode locking. In Eagle River, access precedes closure, closure precedes lift, and lift precedes translation. In Socorro, the object’s high-emission phase is tied to the initial rise and then separates from the later low-hover/translation phase. In Falcon Lake, open/static inspection and close approach are possible in one state, while harmful vented output appears at ascent. In Tehran, the analogous pattern is operational rather than physical: standoff phase, intercept phase,

secondary-object / interference phase, then recombination or withdrawal. The recurrence is not proof of a single mechanism, but it is enough to say that the archive repeatedly describes vehicles or vehicle-like systems behaving in discrete operational states rather than as continuously powered, continuously exposed machines. Common material and atmospheric signatures are weaker than the state-transition pattern but still usable. Bright smooth metallic or heated stainless-like surface descriptions occur in Eagle River and Falcon Lake. Strong local heat, roar, smoke, flame, or blast tend to cluster around transition states rather than cruise phases in Eagle River, Socorro, and Falcon Lake. Sulphur odor appears explicitly in Falcon Lake. Tehran contributes a different signature family: not heat/odor at close range, but intense luminosity and avionic/ intercept effects. These signatures do not unify into a single materials or propulsion theory, but they do support a field of constrained comparisons rather than unstructured anomaly cataloging. Sensor corroboration also sorts the cases into distinct evidentiary bins. Tehran is the strongest official multi-sensor intercept file. RB-47 has high potential sensor value but remains hobbled by contradictory documentary survival. Socorro has excellent physical-response value but weak sensor support. Eagle River has high close-range structural value despite low corroboration. Falcon Lake is strongest where heat, injury, and site interaction are concerned, but it is vulnerable to chain-of-custody problems. Shag Harbour has a credible emergency-response chain but low structural resolution. For reverse-engineering priority, the present ranking is: Socorro, Eagle River, Falcon Lake, Tehran, RB-47, Shag Harbour. That ranking is based on technical extractability, not on sensational value.

Open questions and archive priorities The highest-value unresolved archival problem is not “what were these objects?” It is “which raw documentary layers are still missing?”

For Eagle River, the missing ATIC attachments and full food-analysis chain matter most. For Socorro, the site photographs, lab worksheets, and field measurements are the main bottlenecks. For Falcon Lake, the direct Library and Archives Canada files, medical records, and surviving physical materials should be prioritized before any new interpretation is attempted. For RB-47 and Tehran, raw sensor and maintenance records are the decisive missing layer. For Shag Harbour, the decisive missing layer is the underwater search record. The narrow technical conclusion from this dossier pack is that a nontrivial subset of the historical record is physically coherent enough to deserve treatment as incomplete vehicle telemetry rather than as undifferentiated lore. That does not validate any single propulsion theory. It does justify a continuing reverse-engineering workflow built around state transitions, access and closure logic, localized thermal or atmospheric coupling, terrain/water interaction, and sensor-interference behavior. On that basis, the archive contains more usable engineering information than its public labeling suggests. https://cufos.org/PDFs/cases/1961_04_18_US_WI_Eagle-River_HYNEK_Simonton-CE-III.pdf Records Related to Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) and Unidentified Anomalous Phenomena (UAPs) at the National Archives: Textual and Microfilm | National Archives <https://www.archives.gov/research/topics/uaps/textual-and-microfilm> cufos.org https://cufos.org/PDFs/cases/1957_07_17_US_ML-LA-TX-OK_AirCraft-RB-47_NICAP_Chase-McCoid-Hanley-Provensano-McClure-Tuchscherer.pdf [nsa.gov](https://www.nsa.gov)

https://www.nsa.gov/portals/75/documents/news-features/declassified-documents/ufo/us_gov_iran_case.pdf
https://www.nsa.gov/portals/75/documents/news-features/declassified-documents/ufo/janap_146.pdf https://www.nsa.gov/portals/75/documents/news-features/declassified-documents/ufo/janap_146.pdf https://cufos.org/PDFs/1965_04_24_Socorro/1964_04_24_US_NM_Socorro_CUFOS_Zamora_Files1%264R.pdf
https://cufos.org/PDFs/1965_04_24_Socorro/1964_04_24_US_NM_Socorro_CUFOS_2
https://digitalcollections.trentu.ca/_flysystem/fedora/2022-04/A_History_of_Canada_s_UFO_Investigation_1950_1995.pdf
https://digitalcollections.trentu.ca/_flysystem/fedora/2022-04/A_History_of_Canada_s_UFO_Investigation_1950_1995.pdf

1967 Shag Harbour UFO Sighting and Related Research <https://recherche-research.bac-lac.gc.ca/eng/public/list/43130>

DND UFO Report: Shag Harbour, Nova Scotia – October 11 1967 (Approx) | The Experienter Support Association

<https://www.experientersupport.org/declassified/dnd-ufo-report-shag-harbour-nova-scotia-october-11-1967-approx>