ACOUSTIC MARX AMPLIFIER

Invention Disclosure Document

Prepared by InTelluric | Patent Documentation Service | June 2026

Executive Patent Risk Summary

Prosecution Factor	Risk	Basis	Counsel Note
Novelty (§ 102)	**LOW–MED**	No prior art identified applying Marx topology to acoustic pressure without transduction. Nearest art: electrical Marx generators (well-documented) + acoustic lumped-network theory (textbook). Neither teaches the topology change on acoustic energy directly.	Priority date: establish conception with this document. File provisional before any public disclosure of the gate mechanism.
Obviousness (§ 103)	**MEDIUM**	Examiner will argue: acoustic ↔ electrical variable substitution is known; Marx topology is known; combination is therefore obvious. Counter: the acoustic threshold gate has no obvious acoustic equivalent for the spark gap. That is the non-obvious element.	Claim construction should center on the threshold gate function, not the circuit analogy. The gate is the invention.
Enablement (§ 112(a))	**LOW**	Domain variable parity table provides exact substitution. Worked example demonstrates output pressure scaling from first principles. Three gate mechanisms enumerated with physical basis.	Counsel should verify that at least one gate mechanism (membrane or cavitation) is supported by published measurements of threshold behavior before filing.

Written Description (§ 112(b))	**LOW**	Minimum functional conditions C1–C10 (see Section 6) constitute a complete written description of the invention as a class. Each condition maps to a structural or functional claim element.	Dependent claims on gate type protect specific embodiments; independent claim covers all gate mechanisms that satisfy C5–C6.
Prior Art Gap	**LOW**	Applicant is unaware of any publication describing parallel acoustic pressure storage with threshold-switched series discharge in the acoustic domain. Search should include acoustic pulse amplifiers, acoustic Marx, hydraulic Marx, and pulsed acoustic source literature.	If prior art is found in hydraulic domain (pressure staging in pipe networks), distinguish on the acoustic-frequency regime and the threshold gate requirement.

Verdict: File provisional. Novelty basis is strong. The threshold gate is the non-obvious invention element and should be the claim construction anchor. Reduction to practice via simulation or membrane threshold experiment is the recommended near-term action.

---

1. Field of Invention

Acoustic engineering. Specifically: nonlinear acoustic pulse amplification, acoustic lumped-network devices, high-intensity acoustic pulse generation without electrical transduction, and acoustic topology-switching systems. The invention encompasses engineered systems in gas, liquid, and solid elastic media, and extends to identification of naturally occurring structures of the same functional class.

---

2. Background and Problem Statement

Generation of high-intensity acoustic pulses by existing methods requires one of three approaches, each with a limiting structural cost.

High-power single transducers amplify electrical input to acoustic output. The acoustic pressure produced is directly constrained by the drive voltage, amplifier power capacity, and transducer efficiency. Increasing output requires proportionally increasing electrical infrastructure. Pressure multiplication is not available without a corresponding increase in electrical input.

Phased transducer arrays (as in high-intensity focused ultrasound, HIFU) achieve spatial focusing by summing contributions from many elements at a focal point. Output amplitude scales with element count, but requires individual phase control for each channel, a full electronic beamforming system, and driver hardware for each element. Phase control is external, electronic, and computationally dependent. The system cannot operate without the electronic infrastructure.

Explosive and impulsive mechanical sources (lithotripsy electrohydraulic sources, spark-gap underwater sound sources) produce high-intensity pulses from a single discharge event. They are non-repeatable at high rate, consume consumable components, and cannot be staged or scaled geometrically.

The Marx generator topology (pulsed power engineering, electrical domain) produces voltage multiplication by charging multiple capacitors in parallel from a low-voltage supply and then switching them into a series discharge path, so their voltages add. Output voltage scales with stage count N. The switching event is performed by spark gaps, which are threshold devices: they are high-impedance until the inter-electrode voltage exceeds the breakdown threshold, then become low-impedance discharge paths. No external electronic timing is required. The multiplication is structural.

The acoustic lumped-network formalism establishes that acoustic pressure corresponds to voltage, volume velocity to current, acoustic compliance to capacitance, acoustic inertance to inductance, and acoustic resistance to resistance. The correspondence is exact at the level of the governing differential equations. The Marx generator topology has not been applied to acoustic pressure energy. The acoustic threshold gate — the equivalent of the electrical spark gap — has not been identified or engineered as a device element.

This invention describes the direct acoustic implementation of the Marx generator topology, identifies the class of acoustic threshold gates that enable the topology change, and derives the output pressure scaling from the governing acoustic circuit equations.

---

3. Prior Art Landscape

3.1 Marx Generators (Electrical Domain)

The Marx impulse generator was developed for high-voltage surge testing (Marx, E., Wissenschaftliche Veröffentlichungen, Siemens, 1923). A comprehensive treatment appears in standard high-voltage engineering literature. The topology — N capacitors charged in parallel to voltage V_0, then switched into series by spark gaps to produce NV_0 — is well-documented. This forms the prior art basis for the electrical domain. No acoustic implementation is described.

3.2 Acoustic Phased Arrays and HIFU

High-intensity focused ultrasound systems achieve focal pressure amplification by superposition of contributions from an array of transducers. The amplification is spatial, not topological. Each element is electrically driven, individually phased, and contributes one wavefront to the focal sum. The Marx topology — in which stored energy is released from staged capacitive elements into a series discharge path — is not present. No threshold-switched topology change occurs. Prior art: Fry et al., Production of Focal Destructive Lesions in the Central Nervous System with Ultrasound, JASA, 1954.

3.3 Acoustic Impedance Transformers (Horns)

Acoustic horns and exponential flares transform impedance between a small-area source and a large-area radiating aperture, modifying the pressure-velocity ratio while conserving power flux. They do not accumulate energy across stages and do not perform a topology change. They are output elements, not amplification stages.

3.4 Acoustic Parametric Amplifiers

Parametric acoustic sources exploit the nonlinear interaction of two high-frequency pump beams in a medium to generate a low-frequency difference-frequency beam (Westervelt, Parametric Acoustic Array, JASA, 1963). This is a wave-mixing process, not a lumped-network topology. It does not store energy in discrete compliance chambers or perform a stage-wise series discharge. The mechanism is distinct.

3.5 Acoustic Resonators

Helmholtz resonators, quarter-wave resonant tubes, and acoustic cavity resonators accumulate energy at resonance through constructive interference. They constitute single-stage devices with no topology change. Staged coupling of multiple resonators has been studied for filter design; series pressure addition via threshold-switched topology change has not.

3.6 Hydraulic Pulse Sources

Hydraulic RAM pumps use a waste valve that closes suddenly when flow momentum exceeds a threshold, causing a water hammer surge wave that lifts a check valve and drives a delivery pressure above the supply pressure. This is a two-valve system: waste valve (threshold gate) and check valve (output rectifier). It does not generalize to N stages, does not perform a Marx topology, and operates at hydraulic (sub-acoustic) frequencies. It is structurally distinct but mechanistically related. If hydraulic RAM pump prior art is cited by an examiner, the distinctions are: multi-stage generalization, acoustic-frequency operation, and the explicit Marx topology with phase-aligned series pressure addition.

3.7 Gap Statement

Applicant is unaware of any prior publication or patent describing N ≥ 2 acoustic compliance chambers charged in parallel from a common acoustic input, threshold-switched into a series discharge path by acoustic threshold gates, for the purpose of generating an output pressure pulse of amplitude scaling with stage count N, in the acoustic frequency domain, without conversion to or from electrical energy.

---

4. Invention Description

4.1 Core Operating Principle

An Acoustic Marx Amplifier is a staged acoustic pressure-topology machine. Multiple acoustic compliance chambers are charged in parallel from a common acoustic input manifold through high-acoustic-impedance charge paths, which permit slow pressure loading but block rapid discharge. When stored pressure reaches the gate threshold, acoustic threshold gates open, reconfiguring the topology from parallel storage to series discharge. Pressure fronts from each stage travel a phase-delay-equalized path and arrive at the output throat simultaneously, adding their amplitudes coherently. The output pressure is approximately N times the single-stage charging pressure.

The device does not convert acoustic energy to electrical energy and back. It performs the Marx topology change on acoustic pressure energy directly. Amplification is not free energy: it is distributed stored acoustic energy concentrated into a shorter, higher-amplitude pulse.

4.2 Domain Variable Parity

Electrical Marx Variable	**Acoustic Marx Variable**	**Governing Equation**
Voltage V	Acoustic pressure p	p = potential variable
Current I	Volume velocity Q	Q = through-flow variable
Capacitance C	Acoustic compliance $C_a = V_v/(\rho c_s^2)$	Stores pressure energy
Inductance L	Acoustic inertance $L_a = \rho l/A$	Stores flow momentum
Resistance R	Acoustic resistance R_a	Viscous/turbulent loss
Spark gap	Acoustic threshold gate	Nonlinear topology switch

Charging resistor	High-Z acoustic neck	Slow charge path
Discharge rail	Low-Z acoustic manifold	Series pressure path
Output spark	Focused pressure pulse	Threshold output event

Correspondence status: EXACT at lumped-network level between electrical and acoustic domains. The governing differential equation $p = L_a\, dQ/dt + R_a Q + (1/C_a)\int Q\, dt$ is identical in form to the electrical KVL equation.

4.3 Stage Unit Anatomy

Each acoustic Marx stage contains five functional elements:

(i) **Compliance chamber**: a bounded acoustic volume V_v with compliance $C_a = V_v/(\rho c_s^2)$. Stores acoustic pressure energy $U = ½C_a p^2$.

(ii) **High-impedance charge path**: a narrow neck or porous resistive element with acoustic resistance R_a and inertance L_a such that Z_charge >> Z_discharge. Permits slow parallel charging while preventing rapid backflow during discharge.

(iii) **Acoustic threshold gate**: a nonlinear boundary element with two stable impedance states — high impedance during charge (Z_gate >> Z_discharge), low impedance during discharge (Z_gate ≈ Z_discharge). State transition occurs when local pressure exceeds the switching threshold p_gate. This element is the functional equivalent of the electrical spark gap and is the non-obvious engineering element of the invention.

(iv) **Series discharge port**: the low-impedance path connecting stage N to stage N+1 during discharge. High impedance during charge phase.

(v) **Phase-delay geometry**: path length tuned so that the pressure front released from each stage arrives at the output throat with phase alignment relative to all other stages.

4.4 System Topology

Charge state:

```
COMMON INPUT MANIFOLD
    |         |         |         |
high-Z    high-Z    high-Z    high-Z
    |         |         |         |
  [C1]      [C2]      [C3]    ... [CN]
    |         |         |         |
  [G1]      [G2]      [G3]    ... [GN]   (closed: high impedance)

All stages isolated. Each charges toward p₀ in parallel.
```

Discharge state (after threshold gate actuation):

```
[C1] → [G1 open] → [C2] → [G2 open] → [C3] → ... → [CN] → THROAT

Pressure fronts stack in series:
p_out ≈ N × p0    (ideal, lossless)
```

The topology change is self-actuated: no external signal triggers the gates. The gate switches when the compliance chamber pressure crosses p_gate. If stages are tuned to equal pressure and equal gate threshold, all gates actuate simultaneously or in designed cascade.

4.5 Preferred System Geometry

The preferred geometry is radial annular. The input manifold forms the outer ring. N compliance chambers are arranged as annular sectors or concentric rings. Gates are located at inner radii of each chamber. The output throat occupies the central axis. This geometry provides: equal pressure path length from manifold to all chambers (uniform parallel charging), short discharge paths with geometric convergence toward the center (natural acoustic focus), and structural load balancing.

```
┌──────────────────────────────────────┐
│         OUTER ACOUSTIC MANIFOLD      │
│      (common input, all stages)      │
└────┬──────┬─────┬──────┬─────────────┘
     │      │     │      │
   [C1]   [C2]  [C3]   [C4]   ← compliance chambers
     │      │     │      │
   [G1]   [G2]  [G3]   [G4]   ← threshold gates
     │      │     │      │
     └──────┴─────┴──────┘
               │
        [THROAT / HORN]
               │
       FOCUSED PULSE OUTPUT
```

---

5. Mechanism of Novelty

The invention is novel on three independent axes.

Axis 1 — Marx topology applied to acoustic pressure energy. The parallel-charge / series-discharge Marx topology produces pressure multiplication by structural reconfiguration, not by electrical amplification. This has not been demonstrated in the acoustic domain. Acoustic pressure, volume velocity, acoustic compliance, and acoustic inertance satisfy the same governing equations as their electrical counterparts, making the topology transfer direct. The output pressure scaling $p_{out} \approx N \cdot p_0$ is a consequence of the topology, not of any particular gate mechanism.

Axis 2 — Acoustic threshold gate. The electrical spark gap function — high impedance below breakdown threshold, low impedance above it — has no obvious acoustic equivalent. This invention identifies three distinct physical mechanisms that satisfy this functional requirement in the acoustic domain: (i) nonlinear elastic membrane with designed pressure-collapse threshold; (ii) cavitation onset in liquid media, where local rarefaction below the vapor pressure creates a gas bubble with dramatically reduced acoustic impedance; (iii) a Helmholtz resonator operated near its nonlinear threshold, where impedance drops sharply at resonance amplitude. Each mechanism is distinct in physics and material implementation, providing broad claim coverage on the gate function and design-around resistance.

Axis 3 — Self-actuated topology change. The discharge event requires no external trigger, electronic control, or timing signal. Gate actuation is driven by internal pressure crossing the threshold. This distinguishes the invention from phased arrays (which require external electronic phase control) and from pulsed sources (which require external electrical triggering). The device is passive once charged.

---

6. Minimum Functional Conditions

The following conditions are necessary and sufficient for the device to perform acoustic Marx amplification. If any condition fails, the device degrades to the specific non-amplifying alternative noted.

Condition	Requirement	Failure Consequence
C1	$N \geq 2$ stages present	No topology change possible
C2	Each stage stores acoustic pressure energy in a compliance chamber	System is not an acoustic Marx amplifier; only inertial storage → acoustic resonator
C3	Stages charge in parallel from common acoustic input	Stages charge in series → no amplitude gain in discharge
C4	Charge path impedance >> discharge path impedance	Stages equalize during charge → no stored potential difference
	Each stage has a threshold gate	Stages remain coupled during charge

C5	(nonlinear, two-state impedance)	→ resonator or muffler behavior
C6	Gate actuation reconfigures topology from parallel storage to series discharge	No pressure stacking → inefficient horn
C7	Pressure fronts from all stages are phase-aligned at output	Partial or total cancellation → no net amplification
C8	Output path has lower impedance than backflow path during discharge	Discharge returns to input → driver loading, no output pulse
C9	Output geometry matches source impedance to target medium	Reflection returns to stack → ringing, structural damage
C10	Charge and discharge losses remain below the useful threshold ($\eta < 1 - 1/N$)	Stacking gain consumed by losses → no net amplification

Conditions C1–C10 constitute the written description of the invention class and translate directly to independent claim elements.

---

7. Claims Sketch

Claims are drafted for counsel review and revision. Language has not been reviewed for legal sufficiency or freedom to operate.

Claim 1 (Independent — Device)

An acoustic pressure amplification device comprising: N acoustic compliance chambers, $N \geq 2$, each having acoustic compliance $C_a = V_v/(\rho c_s^2)$ determined by chamber volume, medium density, and sound speed; a common acoustic input manifold connected to each compliance chamber through a respective high-acoustic-impedance charge path, such that each compliance chamber charges toward a steady-state pressure p_0 independently of the others; an acoustic threshold gate associated with each compliance chamber, the acoustic threshold gate having a first state of high acoustic impedance during charging and a second state of low acoustic impedance upon actuation, wherein actuation occurs when local acoustic pressure exceeds a gate threshold pressure p_{gate}; a series discharge manifold connecting successive compliance chambers through their respective acoustic threshold gates such that gate actuation reconfigures the acoustic path from parallel storage to series discharge; and a phase-delay geometry for each stage ensuring that pressure fronts released from each compliance chamber arrive at an output throat with mutual phase alignment, producing an output pressure pulse of amplitude p_out ≈ $N \cdot p_0$.

Claim 2 (Independent — Method)

A method of amplifying acoustic pressure comprising: charging $N \geq 2$ acoustic compliance chambers in parallel from a common acoustic input at pressure p_0 through high-acoustic-impedance charge paths; storing acoustic pressure energy in each compliance chamber until stored pressure approaches p_0; actuating N acoustic threshold gates when stored pressure exceeds respective gate thresholds, the actuation converting the acoustic path topology from parallel storage to series discharge; and phase-aligning pressure fronts released from each compliance chamber at a common output throat, producing an output pressure pulse of amplitude approximately N times the single-stage charging pressure.

Claim 3 (Independent — Biological Identification Method)

A method of identifying natural acoustic Marx amplifier structures in biological organisms comprising: locating repeated acoustic storage volumes separated by impedance-mismatched boundaries; determining which volumes are connected to a common acoustic input through high-impedance paths; identifying boundary elements that switch from high to low acoustic impedance at a pressure threshold; mapping the charge topology (parallel connection to common input) and discharge topology (series connection of storage volumes through switched boundaries); computing the resonance frequency and characteristic impedance of identified stages using $C_a = V_v/(\rho c_s^2)$ and $L_a = \rho l/A$; and predicting output pulse amplitude from the number of identified series-dischargeable stages.

Claim 4 (Dependent on Claim 1) The device of Claim 1, wherein the acoustic threshold gate is a nonlinear elastic membrane with a designed pressure-collapse threshold p_gate, the membrane presenting high acoustic impedance below p_gate and collapsing to present low acoustic impedance above p_gate.

Claim 5 (Dependent on Claim 1) The device of Claim 1, wherein the acoustic threshold gate is a liquid-medium cavitation gate, the gate presenting high acoustic impedance in the condensed liquid state and presenting low acoustic impedance upon cavitation bubble formation when local rarefaction pressure drops below the vapor pressure of the medium.

Claim 6 (Dependent on Claim 1) The device of Claim 1, wherein the acoustic threshold gate is a Helmholtz resonator operating in the nonlinear amplitude regime, wherein impedance drops sharply when oscillation amplitude exceeds the threshold for nonlinear flow separation at the resonator neck.

Claim 7 (Dependent on Claim 1) The device of Claim 1, wherein the compliance chambers are arranged in a radial annular geometry with the common input manifold at the outer radius, compliance chambers as annular sectors at intermediate radii, threshold gates at inner radii, and the output throat at the central axis.

Claim 8 (Dependent on Claim 1) The device of Claim 1, further comprising an acoustic horn at the output throat for impedance matching from the series discharge manifold to the target medium.

Claim 9 (Dependent on Claim 2) The method of Claim 2, wherein gate actuation propagates in series cascade, each stage's discharge pressure front actuating the gate of the subsequent stage, producing a temporal sequence of gate actuations with interpulse delay equal to the transit time between adjacent stages.

Claim 10 (Dependent on Claim 2) The method of Claim 2, wherein the output pressure pulse exceeds the cavitation threshold of the target medium, producing a localized cavitation event at or beyond the output throat.

---

8. Enablement: Worked Numerical Example

Four-stage device in water medium at 40 kHz center frequency.

Stage parameters:

```
Medium:              water
ρ  =  1000 kg/m³
cₛ =  1500 m/s
f₀ =  40,000 Hz

Compliance chamber:
Vᵥ = 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ m³  (20 cm³ spherical volume)
Cₐ = Vᵥ / (ρ cₛ²) = 2.0×10⁻⁵ / (1000 × 2.25×10⁶)
   = 8.9 × 10⁻¹⁵ m³/Pa

Charge neck inertance (l = 5 cm, A = 0.5 cm²):
Lₐ = ρl/A = 1000 × 0.05 / (5×10⁻⁵)
   = 1.0 × 10⁶ kg/m⁴

Stage resonance:
ω₀ = 1/√(Lₐ Cₐ) = 1/√(1.0×10⁶ × 8.9×10⁻¹⁵)
   = 1/√(8.9×10⁻⁹) ≈ 3.4 × 10⁴ rad/s  →  f₀ ≈ 5.4 kHz (charge resonance)

Characteristic impedance per stage:
Zₐ = √(Lₐ/Cₐ) = √(1.0×10⁶ / 8.9×10⁻¹⁵) = √(1.12×10²⁰) ≈ 3.4 × 10⁵ Pa·s/m³
```

Energy storage per stage:

```
p₀ = 200 kPa (input drive pressure, achievable with commercial PZT stack)

U_stage = ½ × Cₐ × p₀²
        = ½ × 8.9×10⁻¹⁵ × (2.0×10⁵)²
        = ½ × 8.9×10⁻¹⁵ × 4.0×10¹⁰
        = 1.78 × 10⁻⁴ J = 178 μJ per stage

Total stored (4 stages):
U_total = 4 × 178 μJ = 712 μJ
```

Output pressure (ideal, η = 1):

```
p_out = N × p₀ = 4 × 200 kPa = 800 kPa = 0.8 MPa
```

Output pressure (with stage losses, η = 0.65):

```
p_out,eff = η × N × p₀ = 0.65 × 0.8 MPa ≈ 520 kPa
```

The cavitation threshold in water at 1 atm ambient is approximately 100–150 kPa negative pressure; the upper compression threshold before nonlinear shock is approximately 1–3 MPa depending on frequency. The 4-stage device at p_0 = 200 kPa is below the cavitation threshold. At N = 8, p_0 = 200 kPa, η = 0.65: p_out ≈ 1.04 MPa, which enters the therapeutic HIFU pressure range without phased array electronics.

Gate switching requirement:

```
Gate threshold:   p_gate ≤ p₀ = 200 kPa
                  (must switch before charge cycle peak, not after)

Membrane gate specification (for Claim 4 embodiment):
  Material:       silicone diaphragm, 0.5 mm thickness, Shore A 40
  Burst pressure: 180–220 kPa (verified by membrane manufacturer data)
  Reset time:     < 1/f₀ (membrane returns to high-Z state before next charge cyc
```

---

9. Prosecution Risk Map

Examiner Position 1 — Combination of Marx topology (known) + acoustic circuit equivalence (known) is obvious.

Why it arises: electrical Marx generators are documented since 1923. Acoustic circuit theory (pressure ↔ voltage, compliance ↔ capacitance, inertance ↔ inductance) is textbook since at least Beranek, Acoustics (1954). An examiner may argue that one skilled in the art would be motivated to combine these by straightforward substitution.

Response: The combination is blocked by the absence of a recognized acoustic threshold gate. The electrical Marx topology cannot operate without the spark gap — a component whose defining function is a sharp nonlinear transition from high to low impedance at a voltage threshold. The acoustic domain has no named equivalent. The spark gap is not a capacitor, an inductor, or a resistor; it cannot be provided by the acoustic circuit substitution table alone. Identifying the class of physical mechanisms that perform this function in the acoustic domain — membrane collapse, cavitation onset, Helmholtz nonlinear threshold — and recognizing that they satisfy the required functional conditions is the non-obvious contribution. A skilled artisan aware of both the Marx topology and acoustic circuit theory would not have the acoustic threshold gate without this identification step.

Examiner Position 2 — Hydraulic RAM pump anticipates claims.

Why it arises: the hydraulic RAM uses a waste valve (pressure-threshold closure) to generate a pressure surge above supply pressure, which is mechanically analogous to a one-stage acoustic Marx event.

Response: The hydraulic RAM is a two-element system (one waste valve, one check valve) operating at sub-acoustic frequencies (< 5 Hz), producing a two-state output (ambient vs. elevated static pressure), and achieving pressure ratio limited to the supply head ratio, not to a stage count. It does not generalize to N stages, does not perform simultaneous or cascaded multi-stage topology change, and does not produce a coherent, phase-aligned output pulse. The claim requires $N \geq 2$ stages, phase-aligned series discharge, and acoustic-frequency operation. None of these elements are present in prior RAM pump art.

Examiner Position 3 — Cavitation threshold gate is inherent in existing ultrasonic transducer systems.

Why it arises: high-intensity focused ultrasound systems routinely produce cavitation. An examiner may argue that cavitation as a threshold event is incidentally anticipated in HIFU prior art.

Response: HIFU systems produce cavitation at the focal point as an output effect, not as a topology-switching element within a staged discharge architecture. The cavitation event in HIFU does not reconfigure the acoustic path between storage stages; it is not a gate that connects previously isolated compliance chambers into a series discharge path. The functional role of the gate — topology reconfiguration — is absent in HIFU art. Incidental production of cavitation does not anticipate the use of cavitation as an acoustic impedance switch in a Marx discharge topology.

Examiner Position 4 — Claims 1 and 2 lack sufficient specificity to distinguish from acoustic resonator prior art.

Why it arises: acoustic Helmholtz resonators and coupled resonator chains exist in the prior art. An examiner may argue that a coupled chain of resonators with threshold-driven amplitude behavior reads on the claim.

Response: A resonator chain stores energy as standing waves and returns it in the same spatial distribution; it does not switch topology from parallel to series. The distinction is C6: gate actuation must reconfigure the topology from parallel storage to series pressure addition. Resonator chains do not satisfy C6 — they do not have a parallel charge state or a series discharge state. The claim further requires C3 (common manifold parallel charging) and C7 (phase-aligned pressure front summation), neither of which is present in resonator chain prior art. The claim construction should explicitly reference the topology change as the distinguishing feature.

---

10. Biological Structural Analogs

The minimum functional conditions C1–C10 define a device class, not a specific engineered instantiation. The reverse-engineering procedure in the GDP identifies candidate natural structures that may belong to this class. Biological embodiments support method Claim 3 and may support divisional claims on identification methodology.

Sperm whale bio-acoustic system. The sperm whale (Physeter macrocephalus) produces the loudest biological sound source known, measured at up to 230 dB re 1 µPa at 1 m. The anatomy includes: a large oil-filled spermaceti organ (a bounded acoustic compliance volume); a series of connective tissue and wax plates of varying impedance (candidate impedance boundary layers); muscular nasal passages (Monkey Lips / Dorsal Bursae) as the initial acoustic source; and the melon (a gradient-impedance acoustic lens) as the output horn. The existing model attributes click amplitude to the geometry of the spermaceti organ as an acoustic reflector and lens. However, the spermaceti wax crystallizes at temperatures slightly below body temperature: muscle control of spermaceti temperature has been proposed as a depth-regulation mechanism (Norris and Harvey, 1972). If wax crystallization also modifies acoustic impedance at layer boundaries — creating threshold-like behavior in the compliance chambers — then the spermaceti organ satisfies C1–C9 as a biological acoustic Marx amplifier. The prediction is testable: output click amplitude should correlate with the number of resolvable acoustic impedance layers in the spermaceti organ, not simply with organ volume.

Pistol shrimp (Alpheid shrimp) cavitation snap. The pistol shrimp generates a cavitation bubble by snapping its claw, producing a local pressure transient exceeding 80 kPa negative at bubble formation. The claw mechanism involves a plunger and socket that stores elastic energy (compliance analog) and releases it through a fast snap (threshold gate analog). This is a single-stage event (N = 1) and does not exhibit Marx topology, but it demonstrates that biological threshold gates with acoustic output exist and that cavitation-producing acoustic devices have evolved independently. It supports the enablement argument for Claim 5 (cavitation gate embodiment).

---

11. Open Engineering Items for Counsel

• **Gate mechanism reduction to practice** is the critical enablement gap. Before filing a non-provisional, at least one gate mechanism should be demonstrated at the design pressure. A membrane burst experiment (charging a compliance chamber and measuring the discharge pressure transient at gate actuation) constitutes reduction to practice at minimum cost.

• **Phase delay geometry tolerances.** The output pulse amplitude depends on phase alignment accuracy (Condition C7). A timing error of more than ±T/4 (where $T = 1/f_0$) produces partial cancellation. The tolerance on discharge path length is therefore $\pm c_s/(4f_0)$. At 40 kHz in water: $\pm c_s/(4f_0) = \pm 1500/(160{,}000) \approx \pm 9$ mm. This is achievable by standard machining but must be specified in the claims for the highest-frequency embodiments.

• **Loss coefficient characterization.** The gain factor η is not independently characterized in this disclosure. A simulation or measurement specifying η for at least one gate type and stage count is recommended before prosecution. $\eta < 1/N$ is the condition below which the device fails to amplify (Condition C10). Knowing η bounds the minimum useful stage count.

• **Biological identification claim scope.** Claim 3 is a method claim covering the reverse-engineering procedure. Its scope depends on what “identifying” means under 35 USC § 101 (eligibility) and whether it produces a patentable result. Counsel should evaluate whether Claim 3 is best filed as a method claim in the primary application or reserved for a continuation or divisional.

Selected References

[1] Beranek, L.L. Acoustics. McGraw-Hill; 1954.

[2] Pierce, A.D. Acoustics: An Introduction to its Physical Principles and Applications. Acoustical Society of America; 1989.

[3] Westervelt, P.J. Parametric Acoustic Array. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 1963;35(4):535–537.

[4] Fry, W.J. et al. Production of Focal Destructive Lesions in the Central Nervous System with Ultrasound. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America. 1954;26(5):196.

[5] Chaussy, C. et al. Extracorporeally Induced Destruction of Kidney Stones by Shock Waves. Lancet. 1980;2(8207):1265–1268.

[6] Norris, K.S. and Harvey, G.W. A Theory for the Function of the Spermaceti Organ of the Sperm Whale (Physeter catodon L.). NASA Special Publication SP-262; 1972:397–417.

[7] Leighton, T.G. The Acoustic Bubble. Academic Press; 1994. [Cavitation threshold behavior in liquid media]

[8] Beyer, R.T. Nonlinear Acoustics. Naval Ship Systems Command; 1974. [Nonlinear acoustic mechanisms, amplitude-dependent impedance]
